

**Review Article** 

**Open Access** 

# Brief Article: Complementary Medicine - Traditional Medicine

## Sabine Bährer-Kohler

<sup>1</sup>Invited Professor for Mental Health/ Mental Health & Social Determinants at Tropical Neurology and Neuroinfection Master, InternationalUniversity of Catalonia (UIC), Barcelona, Spain

<sup>2</sup>Managing Director, Dr. Bährer-Kohler& Partners, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup>President, Association for Mental Health- Global Mental Health, Switzerland

<sup>4</sup>Chair, Houses of Health, Switzerland

## Abstract

To reduce the gap between complementary medicine (CM) and traditional medicine (TM) and to force the process to combine complementary medicine (CM) with traditional medicine (TM) is a still ongoing process. A more than 25 years old scientific journal related to complementary medicine research and the global report 2019 of the World Health Organization about traditional and complementary medicine are only examples, but both promote, force projects in the field, and respectively focus on benefits for clients and patients. Health promotion, disease prevention and healing are the overall aims of medicine. And the current statistical data for example in Switzerland show an increase of the use of complementary medicine applications. Traditional and complementary medicine can be important health resources with many forms of applications around the globe [3]. Debates over the efficacy of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) are requested, but some CAM modalities can have a solid track record of efficacy. The aim of modern medicine should be the "goodlife" of patients and humans around the globe.

## Introduction

The aim of modern medicine should be the "goodlife" as the Greeks understood the term, concluded Anderson in 1945 [1].

Traditional medicine (TM) has a very long history, used in the maintenance of health as well as in the prevention, diagnosis, improvement or treatment of physical and mental illness [2]. Complementary medicine and alternative medicine have traditions and an increasing popularity and complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) treatments have been used for thousands of years around the world [3]. For clarification: the terms "complementary medicine" or "alternative medicine" refer to a broad set of health care practices that are often not part of that country's own tradition or conventional medicine and are often not fully integrated into the dominant health-care system [2]. However, no single determinant of the past and present popularity of complementary and alternative medicine exists, but there is a broad range of interacting positive and negative motivations [4].

The gab to combine traditional medicine (TM) and complementary medicine (CM) is prominent. The use of complementary medicine increased during the last years and decades and more and more UN countries are recognizing the role of TM&CM [5]. For example, in 1998 a study showed that the use of alternative medicine in the USA had risen from 33.8% in 1990 to 42.1% in 1997 [6].

The journal related to complementary medicine research, the 'Complementary Medicine Research' is an international journal that aims to bridge the gap between conventional medicine and complementary/alternative medicine (CAM) on a sound scientific basis, promoting their mutual integration. Impressive is that experts of both conventional medicine and CAM medicine cooperate on the journal's editorial board [7].

## Aspects of the State of the Art

## Policies, laws, regulations, programmes, national centers

In recent times the Office of Alternative Medicine (OAM) in the USA, strongly supported by two political chairpersons in the US, changed to a national center, the National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (NCCAM) in 1995 [8].

## **Publication History:**

Received: November 17, 2020 Accepted: November 23, 2020 Published: November 25, 2020

## Keywords:

Complementary medicine, Traditional medicine, Evidence, Efficacy, Unique health challenges, Alternative medicine

Any government-sponsored center or office that is officially mandated and in charge of issues related to TM&CM can be defined as a national office for TM&CM clarified the report of the World Health Organization [5].

The data published in 2019 clearly showed that more and more countries are recognizing the medical role of TM&CM in their national health systems [5].

By 2018, 98 UN Member States had developed national policies on TM&CM, 109 had launched national laws or regulations on TM&CM, and 124 had implemented regulations on herbal medicines [5]. The UN Member States took steps between 2005 and 2018 to promote the safety, quality and effectiveness of TM&CM [5]. 88% UN Member States have acknowledged their use of TM&CM which corresponds to approximately 170 Member States [5]. These are the countries that have, for example, formally developed policies, laws, regulations, programmes and offices for TM&CM, and the actual number of countries using TM&CM is likely to be even higher underlined the World Health Organization (WHO) in her report in 2019.

Unfortunately, only 79 member states out of the 194 Member States (40%) reported having a national programme for TM&CM [5]. Surprisingly member states without a national programme or a national office for TM&CM in 2018 are Germany and Switzerland (p.21/WHO 2019; p.23/WHO 2019).

But in Switzerland after a poll, the national policy for TM&CM is embedded in the Swiss Federal Constitution, in Article 118a: "Complementary medicine: The Confederation and the Cantons shall

\*Corresponding Author: Prof. Dr. Sabine Bährer-Kohler, Mental Health & Social, Determinants at Tropical Neurology and Neuroinfection Master, International University of Catalonia (UIC), Barcelona, Spain, Tel: +41 (0) 61 5513059; E-mail: sabine.baehrer@datacomm.ch

**Citation:** Bährer-Kohler S (2020) Brief Article: Complementary Medicine - Traditional medicine. Int J Global Soc Work 3: 114. doi: https://doi.org/10.15344/ ijgsw/2020/114

**Copyright:** © 2020 Bährer-Kohler. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Bährer-Kohler S (2020) Brief Article: Complementary Medicine - Traditional medicine. Int J Global Soc Work 3: 114. doi: https://doi.org/10.15344/ ijgsw/2020/114

Page 2 of 3

within the scope of their powers ensure that consideration is given to complementary medicine", issued in 2009 (WHO 2019, p.140) with the implementation in the Swiss health insurances, named "Grundversicherung" and "Zusatzversicherung".

## The use of CAM (complementary and alternative medicine)- inputs

Kemppainen et al. [9] announced that (CAM) has become more popular and accepted in Europe [10-13].

This trend which reflects other trends is observed in other western countries outside of Europe [14].

In a population-based survey in Pakistan related to trends in the use of complementary and alternative medicine, the overall trend in Pakistan shows that 51.7% (CI 54.3-49.1) chose complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) while 48.3% (CI 50.71-48.89) chose biomedicine, unfortunately often patients did not reveal the use of CAM to the biomedicine practitioners [15]. In Japan, with a current population of more than 126 million people, 50-76% of the population uses at least one type of CAM each year [16-19].

Also, in other countries like in Switzerland the trend to use CAM increases from currently 1/3 of the population[20,21].

Kemppainen et al. published further in 2018 that in total, 25.9% of the general population had used CAM during the last 12 months. The use of CAM varied hereby greatly by country, from 10% in Hungary to almost 40% in Germany [9].

#### Consumer and practitioner in Europe

A workshop with the title "Complementary and Alternative Therapies for Patients Today and Tomorrow" was held at the European Parliament in Brussels in autumn 2017 with closing remarks that, that CAM therapy will bring benefits to patients in the EU, namely a more varied choice of therapies [22]. Alone for Europe the European Commission estimates that consumers' spending on CAM is almost EUR 100 million [22,23]. In Europe, CAM is practiced by approximately 145.000 physicians (trained in both conventional/ traditional medicine and CAM therapies), as well as more than 160.000 non-medical practitioners (NMP) [22].

## Aspects of difficulties & lacks, efficacy, and effects

In 2012 the European Commission's Directorate-General for Research and Innovation founded the so named CAMbrella project: a three-year survey of the status of CAM in Europe between 2010 and 2012.

The overall findings of the project were published in 2013, and showed

- a lack of data concerning the efficacy of CAM treatments,
- as well as a lack of commonly agreed standards concerning definition,
- a lack of legal status, and
- a lack of provisions of CAM.

The CAMbrella project also concluded that there is an evident lack of integration of CAM into national public health systems, as well as an inadequate availability of research facilities [22].

Sugito and Son in 2019 [16] added concerning obstacles to the use of complementary and alternative medicine by primary care physicians.

Int J Global Soc Work

They concluded that various factors interfere in the use of complementary and alternative medicine by primary care physicians.

They suggested to develop approaches to overcome lack of education and insufficient collaboration among regional health professionals, but additional the authors presented results of another study of Morion et al. [24], to overcome

- the absence of common language,
- to face the organizational and legal context, and
- the limited scientific evidence like concluded with other scientific data [24].

Further the co-authors presented an overview about barriers to use of CAM in a table and underlined the existing

- deficits in the awareness of health-care providers, like the lack of knowledge and understanding about CAM,
- deficits about characteristics of CAM, like insufficient aspects of evidence for therapeutic effects,
- deficits in the medical system and organizations like the lack of CAM education or disrespect for CAM, and
- regional factors like insufficient cooperation between experts in the region [16].

Segar underlined 2011 that debates and discussions over the efficacy of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) are often highly polarized and have received much publicity of late. Critics of CAM so Segar is often point to the lack of scientific evidence demonstrating its efficacy. The lack of evidence gathered by means of randomized controlled trials is used to make the claim that CAM is no more effective than placebo, means like a sugar pill.

Segar concluded that quite often CAM therapists and patients do not reflect the polarities evident in the public debate in their own understanding and usage of CAM. Segar comes to the conclusion that they are pragmatic pluralists with clear ideas about when CAM treatment is appropriate [25].

## Efficacy

But nevertheless, Tan et al. concluded after their analyses and based on the guidelines of the Clinical Psychology Division of the American Psychological Association, that their findings indicate that

- ✓ some CAM modalities have a solid track record of efficacy, whereas others are promising but require additional scientific research, Tan et al. [26].
- √ data of another study of Boston et al. [27] with 80 patients from a private herbal clinic and the Georgetown Public Hospital Diabetic and Medical Outpatient Clinic indicated that a significant number of diabetic patients using herbs alone for their treatment had after the interventions normal results.
- √ data of another detailed scientific analyses by Fischer et al. [28] with an extensive search of the main medical databases EBSCO, CINAHL, Medline, AMED and SCOPUS with 7006 records of which 113 papers were screened has documented that women's assessment of efficacy of different CAM modalities is positive.

Citation: Bährer-Kohler S (2020) Brief Article: Complementary Medicine - Traditional medicine. Int J Global Soc Work 3: 114. doi: https://doi.org/10.15344/ ijgsw/2020/114

#### Aspects of Solutions

- More understanding for and knowledge about CAM und CM is requested.
- More scientific exchange between the medical disciplines should happen.
- More interdisciplinary scientific exchange should happen.
- More documentations, and more scientific presentations should be given related to TM, CM, and CAM.
- More research should be undertaken to prove the effectiveness of complimentary or CAM therapies [29].
- Evidence is required for biological or clinical plausibility [29].
- Building up an expert panel like suggested from WHO [30] in this context could be supportive.
- An e-databank with studies and publications in the field to avoid further overlapping CAM /CM studies and to allow to build up a cooperation platform for e-data, e-services, innovations, development, and scientific exchange.
- Another possibility is to explore the patients, to ask them about CM, CAM effects and healing processes from their point of view with tools, scientific analyses, interviews and other forms of interventions.

#### Conclusion

Many factors influence the implementation and cooperation of TM, CAM and CM and avoid a full integration into the dominant health-care system of each of the 194 UN countries.

And still many challenges have to be faced, analyzed and overcome, but these are not hindrances to step forward.

"Countries aiming to integrate the best of TM&CM and conventional medicine would do well to look not only at the many differences between the two systems, but also at areas where both converge to help tackle the unique health challenges of the 21st century." ([2], foreword of Dr. Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus) published the Director-General of the World Health Organization in 2019.

The author would like to add, we professionals, who are working in these fields should help to tackle these unique health challenges of the 21st century.

## **Competing Interests**

The author declare that there is no competing interests regarding the publication of this article.

#### References

- 1. Anderson MR (1945) The Aim of Medicine. Br Med J 2: 824.
- 2. World Health Organization (2020) Traditional, Complementary and Integrative Medicine. Overview.
- Moore TR, Franks RB, Fox C (2017) Review of Efficacy of Complementary and Alternative Medicine Treatments for Menopausal Symptoms. J Midwifery Womens Health 62: 286-297.
- Ernst E (2000) The role of complementary and alternative medicine. BMJ 321: 1133-1135.
- 5. WHO (2020) Traditional, Complementary and Integrative Medicine.
- Astin JA (1998) Why patients use alternative medicine: Results of a national study. JAMA 279: 1548-1553.

- Karger (2020) Complementary Medicine Research. Practice, Methods, Perspectives. Journal Abbreviation: Complement Med Res.
- Jonas WB, Eisenberg D, Hufford D, Crawford C (2013) The evolution of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) in the USA over the last 20 years. Forsch Komplementmed 20: 65-72.
- Kemppainen LM, Kemppainen TT, Reippainen JA, Salmenniemi ST, Vuolanto PH, et al. (2018) Use of complementary and alternative medicine in Europe: Health-related and sociodemographic determinants. Scand J Public Health 46: 448-455.
- Fischer FH, Lewith G, Witt CM, Linde K, Ammon KV, et al. (2014) High prevalence but limited evidence in complementary and alternative medicine: Guidelines for future research. BMC Complement Altern Med 6: 14-46.
- 11. Ernst E, White A (2000) The BBC survey of complementary medicine use in the UK. Complement Ther Med 1: 32-36.
- Härtel U, Volger E (2004) Inanspruchnahme und Akzeptanz klassischer Naturheilverfahren und alternativer Heilmethoden in Deutschland-Ergebnisse einer repräsentativen Bevölkerungsstudie. Forsch Komplementarmed Klass Naturheilkd 6: 327-334.
- Ekholm O, Kjøller M (2007) Brugen af alternativ behandling i Danmark. Resultater fra den nationalt repræsentative Sundheds-og sygelighedsundersøgelse 2005. Tidsskrift for Forskning i Sygdom og Samfund. 6: 15-24.
- Clarke T, Black LI, Stussman BJ, Barnes PM, Nahin RL, et al. (2015) Trends in the use of complementary health approaches among adults: United States, 2002-2012. Natl Health Stat Report 79: 1-16.
- Shaikh SH, Malik F, James H, Abdul H (2009) Trends in the use of complementary and alternative medicine in Pakistan: a population-based survey. J Altern Complement Med 15: 545-550.
- Sugito R, Son D (2019) Obstacles to the use of complementary and alternative medicine by primary care physicians: Preliminary study. Wiley Online Library 6: 173-177.
- Yamashita H, Tsukayama H, Sugishita C (2002) Popularity of complementary and alternative medicine in Japan: A telephone survey. Complement Ther Med 10: 84-93.
- Suzuki N (2004) Complementary and alternative medicine: A Japanese perspective. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 1: 113-118.
- Hori S, Mihaylov I, Vasconcelos JC, McCoubrie M (2008) Patterns of complementary and alternative medicine use amongst outpatients in Tokyo, Japan. BMC Complement Altern Med 8: 14.
- Etter G (2019) Komplementärmedizin 10 Jahre nach der Abstimmung. Schweiz Ärzteztg 100: 795.
- 21. Bundesamtes für Statistik (2019) Schweizerische Gesundheitsbefragung 2017: Standardtabellen.
- 22. Policy Department Economic and Scientific Policy, European Parliament (2017) Complementary and Alternative Therapies for Patients Today and Tomorrow.
- 23. European Commission (2020) Complementary medicine popular across Europe.
- 24. Morin C, Desrosiers J, Gaboury I (2018) Enablers and barriers to the development of interprofessional collaboration between physicians and osteopaths: A mixed methods study. J Interprof Care 32: 463-472.
- 25. Segar J (2011) Complementary and alternative medicine: Exploring the gap between evidence and usage. Health 16: 366-38
- Tan G, Craine MH, Bair MJ, Garcia MK, Giordano J, et al. (2007) Efficacy of selected complementary and alternative medicine interventions for chronic pain. J Rehabil Res Dev 44: 195-222.
- Boston C, Wong N, Ganga T, Chandradatt K, Rosales J, et al. (2019) Comparison and Effectiveness of Complementary and Alternative Medicine as against Conventional Medicine in the Treatment and Management of Type 2 Diabetes. Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research 7: 1-8.
- Fischer C, Sibbritt D, Hickman L, Adams J (2016) A critical review of complementary and alternative medicine use by women with cyclic perimenstrual pain and discomfort: a focus upon prevalence, patterns and applications of use and users' motivations, information seeking and selfperceived efficacy. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 95: 861-871.
- 29. Tabish SA (2008) Complementary and Alternative Healthcare: Is it Evidencebased? Int J Health Sci 2: V–IX.
- 30. World Health Organization (2020) Traditional, complementary and integrative medicine. Networks and collaborations.

Int J Global Soc Work